NEW MEXICO-(Raton Field Office) FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland __ Farm No.____ Tract No.____ CMS Field No's.____ Date_ Applicant Tribal Land Non-Tribal Land Preliminary Rating Final Rating 1. Water Quantity - (Potential Points 60) Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to Evaluate Potential Benchmark After % of Area in Contract % of Area in Contract After **Points Points Points** Efficiency Treatment before Treatment 1-20% 0 21-30% 10 31-40% 20 41-50% 30 51-60% 40 61-70% 50 71-80% 60 **Total** 1. Water Quantity 2. Water Quality - (Potential Points 20) A. Surface Water Pollutants - 10 Points Maximum There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared irrigation system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0. Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water Points Benchmark After <100 Ft. 10 0 101 - 500 Ft. 8 0 0 501 - 1,320 Ft. 6 1,320 - 2,640 Ft. 0 4 >2,640 Ft. 0 0 **Total** 0 A. Surface Water **B. Ground Water Polutants - 10 Points Maximum** There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and direct return flow into wells. Points to be awarded based on depth to the water table, or Depth to Water Table Points Benchmark After 1 - 10 Ft. or elimination of any direct discharge into groun water. 10 0 0 10 - 50 Ft. 8 50 -100 Ft. 6 0 >100 Ft. 4 0 Total 0 **B.** Ground Water

	NEW ME	XICO-(Raton Field Office	;e)		
		riteria Worksheet - Irriga	•	land	
Applicant		o Tract No CMS Field			
		Preliminary Rating			
	3 Salacted Conser	vation Practice(s) - (Potentia	al Points 6	0)	
Any practice use		ed to be included in the conservation	ar i Onits o		
plan of operation (value) should be cost effective, ar	ns must be cost-shared or have an i	incentive payment. Higher priority dress multiple resource concerns, are Quality Criteria in the FOTG to	Potential Points	Percent of need to be installed.	Points
Soil(erosi	on), Water(quality, quality), Plant	ts(health), Animals(habitat), Air			
Irrigation system sprinkler(442), Irrigation system trickle(441)					
Irrigation pipeline(430), Irrigation ditch & canal lining(428)					
inigation pipolino(100), inigation aton a canal illing(120)					
Windbreak establishment(380), Pasture & hayland planting(512)			10		
Land smoothing(466), Irrigation land leveling(464)					
Structure for water control			10		
	3.	Selected Conservation Practices	Total		
	4. Other Cons	siderations - Potential Point	s (20)		
Below are some	suggested, not required, criteria. I		Potential	Benchmark	After
wants to recomn	nend based on LWG advice, please	e include them here.	Points	benchinark	Points
A. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of			_	0	
Class A and/or Class B noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA. B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.			<u> </u>	0	
	this land could have a beneficial in		<u> </u>	0	
	vithin a proposed sec. 319 project.	its of all active sec. 319 project.	5	0	
D. This land is v	Maint a proposed see. 5 to project.			-	
		4. Other Considerations	Total	0	
	nimum points for enrollment is				
Designated Co	nservationist	Date			